

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/789,573	02/27/2004	004 Erik J. Burckart RSW920040010US1 (152) 7945				
46320 CAREV ROD	7590 10/02/2008 RIGUEZ GREENBERG	EXAMINER				
CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP STEVEN M. GREENBERG			CHANG, JUNGWON			
950 PENINSU SUITE 3020	LA CORPORATE CIRCI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BOCA RATO	N, FL 33487	2154				
·						
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
•			10/02/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Pre-Interview Communication	10/789,573	BURCKART ET AL.				
(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	JUNGWON CHANG	2154	Page 1 of			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE This time period for reply is NOT extendable under 3 under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).	IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE MON OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TH OR THIRTY	(30) DAYS,			
To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must	, within this time period for reply	, file:				
(1) A letter requesting not to have a first-action inter	, , ,					
(2) A completed Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) accompanied by a proposed amendment						
or arguments. Inventor participation in the Pre-First Action Interview is encouraged if it would expedite resolution of the application.						
			- F			
Disposition of Claims	_					
 3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,12</u> is/are pending in the application. 3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.5.12</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
8)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·r.					
9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Contact Information						
Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-3960						
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: M-F 6:30 - 2:00						
Supervisor's Name: Nathan Flynn						
Supervisor's Telephone Number: 571-272-1915						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/04, 6/30/05</u> . 6) Other:						

						Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	Pre-Interview Communication				•	10789573		BURCKART ET AL.		
(For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)					Examiner		Art Unit			
				· ·	·	JUNGWON CHANG		2154	Page 2 of	
				Notifica	tion of R	ejection(s) and/or Object	ion(s)			
#	Claim	(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis		Brief Explanation of Rejection				
1				Specification objection	termino 608.01(cation is objected to as faili logy "computer-readable s o)). Claim 12 discloses "co ed in the specification.	torage device"	Please see 37 CFF	R 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP	
2	1,5,12	2	Mentze et al. Lund 103(a) Mentz discloses claims 1, 5 and 12 (col. 1, line 58 - col. 2, line 16, "recommend available network protocol to a user"; col. 3, lines 8-37, "if IPX is not supported client determine whether the client supports IP protocol") except for (see cont			supported by the				
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l					
		_		E	xpanded	Discussion/Commentary	<u> </u>		- N	
		"reversing an order of the created listing". Lund discloses reversing an order of the created listing (page 3, 0033, "protcols stored in the list may be re-arranged according to a different order"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Mentz and Lund because Lund's teaching would update the protocol lists by re-arranging according to a different order, as taught by Lund, page 3, 0033.								
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
								<u> </u>		
	TE: 0/2008	3		/JUNGWON CH		nit 2154				